

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/818,604	03/28/2001	Seiji Kurokami	862.C2159	1875
5514 75	590 08/24/2005		EXAMINER	
FITZPATRICK CELLA HARPER & SCINTO 30 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA			PATEL, RAJNIKANT B	
NEW YORK, 1			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBE	
			2838	:
			DATE MAILED: 08/24/2005	·

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/818,604	KUROKAMI ET AL	L. <i>( (</i> /^^
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	1
	Rajnikant B. Patel	2838	
The MAILING DATE of this commun Period for Reply	cation appears on the cover she	et with the correspondence ad	ldress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F. THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNI  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comm  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (3  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum states above the service of the provided period for reply Any reply received by the Office later than three months a earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, nunication. 0) days, a reply within the statutory minimum atutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6 will, by statute, cause the application to becc	nay a reply be timely filed of thirty (30) days will be considered timely i) MONTHS from the mailing date of this come ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) file	d on <u>26 July 2005</u> .		
,	2b)⊠ This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition closed in accordance with the practi			e merits is
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) <u>1-25</u> is/are pending in the at 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/a 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☐ Claim(s) <u>1,2,13,16,17,19 and 20</u> is/a 7) ☐ Claim(s) <u>3-12,14-15,18 and 22-25</u> is 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restrict	re withdrawn from consideration are rejected. dare objected to.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by th			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are:			
Applicant may not request that any obje			ED 4 404(4)
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including 11) The oath or declaration is objected to			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority 2. Certified copies of the priority 3. Copies of the certified copies	documents have been received documents have been received of the priority documents have lenal Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	d.  d in Application No  been received in this National	Stage
Attachment(s)	<b></b>		
1) ⊠ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) □ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F		view Summary (PTO-413) er No(s)/Mail Date	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or Paper No(s)/Mail Date	PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice	ce of Informal Patent Application (PT)	0-152)

Art Unit: 2838

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### . Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-2,13,16-17 and 19-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Handleman (U.S. Patent # 6,111,767) in combination with Moorey (U.S. Patent # 3,975,663).

Handleman discloses claimed invention a power converting apparatus (figure 2,6, and 6A-B), including a power supply to alternating power and alternating current (figure 6, item 120), a solar battery (figure 6, item 100), except the utilization of the technique for a detector for detecting a ground fault in response to a varied potential to ground of the power supply. Moorey teaches the similar technique for a detector for detecting a ground fault in response to a varied potential to ground of the power supply (Abstract, line 10-20).

Art Unit: 2838

## Allowable Subject Matter

3. Claims 3-12,15,18 and 22-25 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

### **Double Patenting**

1. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

1. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Rajnikant B Patel whose telephone number is 571-272-2082. The examiner can normally be reached on 6.30-5.00; m-f.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Sherry can be reached on 571-272-2084. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 09/818,604

Art Unit: 2838

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <a href="http://pair-direct.uspto.gov">http://pair-direct.uspto.gov</a>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Rajnikant B Patel Primary Examiner Art Unit 2838 Page 4

\*\*\*